BIOETHICAL CONSIDERATIONS OF EMERGING MEDICAL INTERVENTIONS FOR OCD

IOCDF Conference - San Francisco, CA Friday, July 7, 8:00-9:30am PT



Molly Martinez, PhD Robert Hudak, MD Eric Storch, PhD Christopher Pittenger, MD, PhD

Disclaimer

This talk is not eligible for CE credit.

OVERVIEW

- Principles of Bioethics
- Overview emerging biomedical interventions for OCD
- History of ethical violations in neurosurgery & medicine
- Psychedelic medications, neurostimulation and neurosurgical treatment options for OCD
- Ethical considerations specific to pediatrics, genomics and cutting-edge research

4 GUIDING PRINCIPLES OF BIOETHICS

- Autonomy
- Beneficence
- Nonmaleficense
- Justice

PRINCIPLES IN CONFLICT

- Do the risks outweigh the benefits?
- Who is eligible for treatment? Who has access to treatment?
- Who has the power to decide?
- Who benefits (or profits) most from advancing these interventions?
- What are possible long-term implications or repercussions of these interventions?
- How do these interventions influence public perception, stigma?

EMERGING BIOMEDICAL INTERVENTIONS FOR OCD

- Deep brain stimulation (DBS)
- Transcranial Magnetic Stimulations (deep=dTMS; repetitive=rTMS)
- Transcranial Direct Current Stimulation (tDCS)
- Ablative neurosurgery, Gamma knife
- Experimental medications: e.g., ketamine, psilocybin
- Genetics: polygenic risk scores (PGS)

DEEP BRAIN STIMULATION (DBS)



GAMMA KNIFE (RADIATION)



TRANSCRANIAL MAGNETIC STIMULATION



PRINCIPLES IN CONFLICT

- Do the risks outweigh the benefits?
- Who is eligible for treatment? Who has access to treatment?
- Who has the power to decide?
- Who benefits (or profits) most from advancing these interventions?
- What are possible long-term implications or repercussions of these interventions?
- How do these interventions influence public perception, stigma?

DEEP TRANSCRANIAL MAGNETIC STIMULATION (dTMS)



CONCEPTS & IMAGES OF NEUROMODULATION IN POP CULTURE



YOUTUBE: DBS FOR OCD COMMENTS



zahi hmi 1 year ago I need this surgery

- 占 32 ∇ REPLY
- View 6 replies





ß 9 months ago OCD is effecting my life

п^ 12 ∇ REPLY



lincee Sen 8 months ago

View reply

Plzzz i need this surgery toooo...plzz help mee...i want to live my life,i cn't tolerate it anymore 🎯 🎯 🞯 🎯 🎯

57 REPLY

View 4 replies



Влад Мішин 2 weeks ago

it's kinda scary if they do that surgery that means that ERP not that affective

Sh E 5 months ago (edited)

I can't trust this at all!! Remember 50 years ago the lobotomy process, how it ruined lives of many people and how even it killed people. No, no, no... brain is so complex a surgery can't solve all problems like that, and it might give you even more problems, depriving you from basic brain functioning!! It's so scary...

凸 10 ∇ REPLY



Fahid 3 months ago Modern day lobotomy 凸 2 ∇ REPLY

Ethics in Psychiatry and the Clinician/Educator

Robert Hudak MD

Associate Professor of Psychiatry

University of Pittsburgh School of Medicine

NEUROSURGICAL INTERVENTIONS

- Why are ethics particularly important in this area?
- Let's go back in time to talk about the beginnings of this field
- Trigger warning: graphic descriptions of medical procedures will be discussed

LOBOTOMY FOR MENTAL ILLNESS

- The leucotomy was invented in 1935 by a Portuguese neurologist named Antonio Egas Moniz.
- Others had tried types of lobotomies in the past, but he discovered a new method
- The procedure is described as horrific today, but the alternatives were equally as horrific back then

LEUCOTOMY

- Procedure involved drilling two holes in the skull and then using ethanol initially and then a wire loop to sever the connections between the frontal lobes and the rest of the brain
- He had a surgeon perform the procedure
- He reported success with the first 20 patients
- However, his evaluation, data-gathering and record keeping were poor

ENTER WALTER FREEMAN

- Medical profession was initially hostile to leukotomy, but then Moniz met Walter Freeman, a US neurologist, by chance at a conference
- Freeman was sold, and while initially did procedures with a neurosurgeon, he eventually decided that he could do it on his own.
- Freeman toured the U.S. doing lobotomies in institutions everywhere, wearing flamboyant clothes, and often doing 2 procedures at the same time with each hand

LOBOTOMY TOURS

- However, Freeman stubbornly stuck to the procedure while it was abandoned by virtually everyone else
- He expanded the reasons for doing this to almost any indication and performed it on children as young as 4 years old.
- Consent rarely given, and Freeman's follow-ups were sloppy to non-existent
- Last performed in 1967

BUT LET'S NOT JUST PICK ON PSYCHIATRY EITHER

- Tuskegee
- FAS
- Thalidomide

Many of the ethical problems that have occurred in medicine were overseen by the everyday clinician

RISKS/BENEFITS

Relative to: I) another procedure/intervention or 2) NO procedure/intervention

RISKS

- Adverse Events brain hemorrhage, infection (DBS), Seizure risk (TMS)
- Irreversible procedures (ablative)
- Destructive (ablative)
- Unclear effectiveness/guidelines (all)

• Cost

BENEFITS

- Possibility of symptom reduction
- Hope for refractory cases
- Reversible (to an extent)
- Non-destructive (TMS, DBS)
- Adjustable

INFORMED CONSENT

- Does the patient have the capacity to make this decision?
- Does the patient fully understand the risks and benefits?
- Are patient expectations in line with the likely outcome?
- Is the patient/family under and undue pressure or duress?

- Decisional Capacity is evaluated on a sliding scale based on the risk/benefit ratio of the patient's choice versus standard care.
- The larger the difference in risk, the greater the requirement for consistency and reasoning in their decisions.



Risk/Benefit ratio of Patient's choice

NEUROSURGICAL INTERVENTIONS FOR OCD

Three different informed consents for neurosurgical OCD procedures:

Approved with consensus:

- Deep Brain Stimulation
- Ablative neurosurgery

Approved without guidelines:

• dTMS

NEUROSURGICAL PROCEDURES

- How appropriate are patient referrals?
- What is patient criteria?
- 2 out of 325 people referred meet criteria for surgery (Garnaat et al 2014)

OCD Research and OCD Teaching as a Clinician/Educator

- Many of the patients I refer for my research studies are my own patients. What
 obligations do I have to ensure that they do not feel their clinical care is at jeopardy if
 they do not choose to participate?
- Are referrals to IOCDF (where I was in charge funds) a conflict of interest? What is in the best interest of my patients?
- What are the ethical obligations involved in teaching residents about OCD and psychiatry in general? Is teaching "the facts" enough? What about staying current?
- What are the ethical obligations in teaching other members of the medical profession at large, particularly in a field in which so much is done "off- label"?

Research into Ethics of Neuromodulation

Eric Storch, PhD

Professor & McIngvale Presidential Endowed Chair

Vice Chair & Head, Psychology

Department of Psychiatry & Behavioral Sciences

Baylor College of Medicine

Eric.storch@bcm.edu

Baylor College of Medicine

PARENTAL ATTITUDES TOWARD DBS IN ADOLESCENTS WITH TREATMENT-RESISTANT CONDITIONS (STORCH ET AL., 2019)

- 279 parent participants
- Favorable impressions of DBS across conditions
 - Especially if:
 - Greater improvement assured
 - Child had capacity to assist in the decision
- Some reluctance to use DBS when possible safety concerns present
- Pre-existing knowledge of DBS significantly predicted acceptability of DBS for Rett syndrome, ASD, epilepsy

 Ratings of acceptability lower for OCD compared to other conditions assessed





Storch et al., 2019

PERCEPTION OF DBS IN ADOLESCENTS WITH OCD

(WEINZIMMER ET AL., 2021)

- 260 parents of children with a history of OCD and adults with a history of OCD.
- More willing to consider DBS for epilepsy than for OCD.
- Factors associated with greater willingness to consider DBS:
 - Familiarity
 - Presence of suicidal thoughts
 - Assurances of daily functioning improvements and substantial symptom reduction.
- Concerns about safety, personality changes, and long-term effects on the body were associated with greatest reluctance.

TABLE 3. FREQUENCIES OF ACCEPTABILITY RATINGS OF DEEPBRAIN STIMULATION FOR SEVERE, REFRACTORY ADOLESCENTOBSESSIVE-COMPULSIVE DISORDER (N=199)

	Endorsement frequency (%)		
0—Totally unacceptable	7 (3.5)		
1	4 (2.0)		
2	7 (3.5)		
3	7 (3.5)		
4	5 (2.5)		
5—Neutral	19 (9.5)		
6	24 (12.1)		
7	41 (20.6)		
8	44 (22.1)		
9	16 (8.0)		
10-Totally acceptable	25 (12.6)		

NEUROETHICS OF PEDIATRIC DBS (IRFIMHI2I37I-0I)

BRAIN

PURPOSE

- Examine the **neuroethical issues** surrounding use of pDBS.
- Examine decisional needs of stakeholders: clinicians, caregivers, and patients.
- **Develop a decision aid** to enhance SDM and improve collaboration.

UNIQUE ETHICAL ISSUES

- Unknown impact of DBS on **personal identity** in developing children.
- Appropriateness of DBS for disorders that may remit by early adulthood.
- Potential need for **pDBS safeguards and protections** in the best interests of the child.
- Navigation of the complex shared decision-making process between minor patient, the caregiver who acts as surrogate decision maker, and the clinician.

PARITY FOR DBS

 DBS therapy for OCD is often denied because "experimental and investigational" but is not for dystonia



frontiers in Psychiatry

OPINION published: 12 August 2021 doi: 10.3389/fpsyt.2021.706181



Restriction of Access to Deep Brain Stimulation for Refractory OCD: Failure to Apply the Federal Parity Act

Rachel A. Davis^{1*}, James Giordano², D. Brian Hufford³, Sameer A. Sheth⁴, Peter Warnke⁵, Alik S. Widge⁶, R. Mark Richardson^{7,8}, Joshua M. Rosenow⁹, Peter Justin Rossi¹⁰, Eric A. Storch¹¹, Helena Winston^{1,12}, JoAnne Zboyan¹³, Darin D. Dougherty^{8,14}, Kelly D. Foote¹⁵, Wayne K. Goodman¹¹, Nicole C. R. McLaughlin^{16,17,18}, Steven Ojemann¹⁹, Steven Rasmussen^{16,17,18,20}, Aviva Abosch^{21†} and Michael S. Okun^{22†}

OPEN ACCESS

Edited by

DEEPTMS COST EFFECTIVENESS (GREGORY ET AL.)

Strategy	Costs (\$)	Costs (\$) SD	Incremental Costs (\$)	Effectiveness Unit Change in Y-BOCS (Mean)	Effectiveness Unit Change in Y-BOCS (SD)	Incremental Effectiveness	Incremental Cost Effectiveness Ratio (ICER)
ADM	\$ 1,575	39.37		2.6	1.484		
ADM + Antipsychotic	\$ 4,994	5.33	\$ 3,420	3.5	1.698	1.1	3,110
dTMS	\$ 8,000		\$ 4,006	6.5	0.733	3.0	1,335
**ADM+CBTEffectiveness	\$ 9,529	137.96	\$ 4,545	5.3	0.663	-1.2	-3,788
ADM + CBT	\$11,619	149.65	\$ 2,070	11.2	1.147	4.7	770
**IOP	\$11,744	328.46	\$ 125	8.7	6.90	-2.5	-50
**PHP	\$14,539	331.13	\$ 2,930	9.6	6.70	1.1	2,664
PHP / IOP	\$29,344	528.76	\$ 17,734	10.9	6.52	1.3	13,626

EXTENDING BEYOND NEUROMODULATION

POLYGENIC RISK SCORES IN CHILD AND ADOLESCENT PSYCHIATRY SURVEY

3R00HG008689

SIGNIFICANCE

- The identification of these genomic loci makes it possible to generate polygenic risk scores (PRS) to stratify an individual's risk for different psychiatric disorders.
- The usual age of onset for most psychiatric disorders.
- The promise of reliable PRS in mental health care and prevention is considerable, but there are critical potential ethical and policy challenges.

PURPOSE

Identify child and adolescent
 psychiatrists' knowledge, practices,
 attitudes, expectations, and perceived
 benefits and risks about the use of
 psychiatric PRS.

POLYGENIC RISK SCORES IN CHILD PSYCHIATRY: ETHICAL, CLINICAL AND LEGAL IMPLICATIONS

- Develop ethically-justified and empirically-informed guidelines to address the ethical challenges raised by the use of psychiatric PRS with children and adolescents.
- Employ a mixed-methods design to examine: 1) ethical challenges in the clinical use of psychiatric PRS, 2) key stakeholders' perspectives on psychiatric PRS, and 3) gaps in legal protections and potential solutions.
- Aim I: Examine the experiences and perspectives of CAP who have managed psychiatric PRS.
- Aim 2: Examine the perspectives of patients and caregivers toward the use of psychiatric PRS.
- Aim 3: Identify and examine gaps in legal protections against privacy violations and discrimination based on psychiatric PRS.

OPTIMIZED AFFECTIVE COMPUTING MEASURES OF SOCIAL PROCESSES AND NEGATIVE VALENCE IN YOUTH PSYCHOPATHOLOGY R01 MH125958-01 (MPI: HERRINGTON & STORCH)

 Generate and validate objective, transdiagnostic, behavior-based Social Processing measures using facial expressions (i.e., face valence, facial expression synchrony) and vocal







WHERE DO WE GO FROM HERE?

- Most people watching this are OCD specialists or experts in some professional capacity
- What ethical responsibilities stem from our expertise?
- How can we work together to ensure the highest ethical standards guide the treatment of OCD?